

# Monetary Policy in EMEs, Current Challenges: The case of Uruguay

José Mourelle Monetary Policy Area BANCO CENTRAL DEL URUGUAY

XV Meeting of Monetary Policy Managers CEMLA-BCRD, 26–27 Sep 2019, Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic

# Outline

# 1. The Global Financial Crisis' Aftermath, UMPs, and Capital Inflows

#### 2. The 'Taper Tantrum' and Capital Outflows

3. The Low Interest Rates Environment and EMEs' Policy Outlook



## 1. Uruguay: some relevant features

- Small open economy
- High degree of dollarization
- Investment grade (since 2012-2013)
- **ER regime**:
  - > 1991-2002: Crawling band
  - From 2003: Floating ER regime + Inflation targeting
    - > 2003-2007: Monetary aggregates
    - > 2007-2013: Interest rate
    - **From 2013:** Monetary aggregates



## 1. Capital flows and exchange rate

- After GFC, AE's expansive MPs led to substantial capital flows to EMEs
- Uruguay achieved "Investment Grade" (2012-2013) and followed a contractive MP stance



Uruguay became more attractive to capital inflows



#### 1. Capital flows: benefits

- Strong foreign direct investment
  - high commodity prices
  - development of pulp paper production
  - restrictions on agricultural production in Argentina
  - Investment, and output/productivity growth promotes appreciation of long run RER, but RER also experimented some misalignment. A bigger misalignment was avoided following different polices.



# 1. Challenges for monetary policy

Strong growth process + wage indexation implied inflationary pressures





## 1. Capital flows: risks

- Capital inflows, followed by sudden stops could damage economy (balance sheet effects associated with dollarization + asymmetric effects on prices)
- Depreciations have more impact on prices than appreciations:
  - **EMEs:** Caselli and Roitman (2016), ERPT: 38% / 10% (depreciation/appreciation)
  - Uruguay: Gianelli (2011): 53% / 4%; own calculations (tradables): 45% / 15%.
  - Implies a sort of "inflationary bias" of ER volatility
- Economic policy needs to reduce capital flows volatility, from a monetary and macro-prudential perspective:
  - **FX** intervention
  - Reserves requirements on NR's holdings of public debt instruments
  - Reserves requirements on bank's deposits (average and marginal)



#### 1. Reserve accumulation greater than risk accumulation





#### 1. Capital inflows: challenges for monetary policy

Reserve accumulation, sterilized with CB's bills in local currency in a contractive MP stance, leading to an increasing CB's deficit





# 2. MP after 'Taper Tantrum'

- > After tapering:
  - More risk aversion
  - > More volatility in financial markets
  - Capital outflows from EME to US
- Currency depreciation, fostering inflation and increasing CB's deficit (MP reaction and higher interest payments)
- CB's interventions in FX market to avoid excess FX volatility
- CB smoothed this process eliminating reserves requirements on NR's holdings and on marginal bank's deposits
- Two days before the announcement of tapering, Uruguay announced a return to monetary aggregates.





# 2. MP challenges after 'Taper Tantrum'

Macroeconomic problems in region with consequences in Uruguay

Money demand instability hinders MP with monetary aggregates

Inflationary pressures



#### 2. FX and macroprudential as complements of MP

CB's interventions in FX market to avoid excess FX volatility





#### 2. FX and macroprudential as complements of MP

CB smoothed this process eliminating reserves requirements on NR's holdings and on marginal bank's deposits





# 3. External conditions and MP

- Risks associated to external conditions increased during last years
- Relevant external factors:
  - AEs MP stance (particularly Fed)
  - China
  - > Region
  - US-China trade war
  - > Europe



# 3. Main trade offs of MP

RER Misalignment + negative output gap vs inflation above target











New banking regulation



# Thank you

