The Interaction of Monetary and Fiscal Policy: Evidence from Belize

Authors: Candice Soutar and Rumile Arana

18 June 2020



Overview

- Motivation & Background
- Literature Review
- Methodology & Data
- Preliminary Results

Section I: Motivation & Background



Historical Review... Monetary Policy

- The Central Bank Act (rev. 2011) enshrined monetary policy activities as one of the Bank's primary objectives
- It lists the goal of monetary policy is to:
 - Foster monetary stability, especially stability of the exchange rate
 - Promote credit and exchange conditions conducive to the growth of the economy of Belize
- Monetary policy targets commercial banks' liquidity through the Bank's management of the monetary base (reserve balances).
- Adjustments through the manipulation of reserve requirements are expected to affect credit growth, money supply, international reserves and GDP.
- There have been fifteen monetary policy changes made between 1990 and 2010, with the following results in the pertinent variables:
 - Loan growth (60%), statutory liquidity (60%), and cash liquidity (86%), gross reserves (47%) and GDP growth (25%,)

Monetary Policy Changes & Impact on Observed Variables (1990 – 2010)

Date	Policy Varia	able Change:		Expected char	nge in:				
	Liquidity	Liquidity	Δ^2	GDP ³	Loans	For. Reserves	Import Cover	Stat Liq	Cash Liq ³
<u>1991Q3</u>	28 to 25	7 to 6			11	1	1	, , <u>1</u>	
<u>1992Q4</u>	25 to 27	6 to 7			1	0	0		
<u>1993Q4</u>	27 to 28	" "n.a			<u>1</u>	0	0		, , ,
_1995Q1	28 to 24	1 7 to 5		0	0		0	, , _ 1	
_1995Q4	24 to 26	5 to 7		0	0	0	0	, , <u>1</u>	
_1998Q4	26 to 24	7 to 5		1	1	1	1	<u>1</u>	; ; ;
_2000Q2	<u>n.a.</u>	5 to 3		0	0	1	11		, , ,
_2001Q1	<u> </u>	<u>3 to 4</u>		0	0		0	<u>0</u>	
_2002Q4	<u>n.a.</u>	4 to 6		0	0		0	' ' 1 +	<u> </u>
_2004Q2	24 to 19	<u> </u>		0	1	1	1	<u>1</u>	0
_2004Q4	19 to 20	6 to 7		0	1	1	11	<u>1</u>	1
_2005Q2	20 to 21	7 to 8		1	0	0	0	<u>0</u>	1
_2006Q1	21 to 22	1 1 8 to 9		0	1	<u> </u>	1	, , <u>1</u>	11
_2006Q3	22 to 23	9 to 10		1	1	1	1		1
2010Q2	n.a.	10 to 8.5		0	1	0	0	i · 1	i • 4 1

Historical Review... Monetary Policy

- Of note in these instances of expansionary monetary policy:
 - Loan growth and that of gross foreign reserves respond according to expectations
 - Fiscal activities after the expansionary change in monetary policy counter the policy measures
 - GDP growth follows the fiscal path
- When contractionary policies are undertaken, the aforementioned variables _10.4 respond in an opposite manner



Growth In variables Before and After Expansionary Monetary Policy (1990 - 2010)

Historical Review... Fiscal Policy

- Belize has struggled with maintaining strong fiscal positions since its independence given the following:
 - Belize's low and declining trend output growth (Halving of average growth between 2000 and 2019),
 - The country's near-maxed tax capacity (Roberts et al, 2018)
 - Fairly large public sector with its corresponding outlay costs.
- The fiscal deficit has averaged 3.7% of GDP over the past five years.

Belize's Overall Fiscal Balance to GDP vs GDP Growth (1990 – 2019)



Historical Review... Debt Sustainability???

- Recurring fiscal deficits have led to an increased accumulation of debt.
- Initially the growth in public liabilities was through the external market however in the past five years the domestic market has become more significant
- Given the need for public sector outlays recent Fiscal adjustment has mainly come through three external debt restructurings (2006, 2013, 2017),
- At 2019-end, total public sector debt remained stubbornly high at 99.7% of GDP.

Belize's Primary Balance to GDP vs Total Debt to GDP (1990 – 2019)



Why is this Important...

- The fiscal authorities have been more "dominant" than the Central Bank in the Belizean economy given:
 - Legal provisions enshrined in the Central Bank of Belize Act that has opened a window for quasi-fiscal activities and potential government influence
 - The size and required expenditure to sustain the activities of the public sector
 - The impact of fiscal activities outweigh monetary policy in its impact on economic growth (Soutar, 2019; Arana, 2019)
- The activities of the fiscal authority is a very important issue in an economy with increasing public sector liabilities and persistent fiscal imbalances
- Fiscal dominance in the Belizean economy has been acknowledged, but has never been empirically assessed for the country

Section II: Literature Review



Relationship Between Policy Decisions...

- Sargent and Wallace (1981) describe monetary-fiscal standoff as a coordination game between fiscal and monetary authorities. If the central bank moves first, they can impose discipline on the fiscal authority (Monetary Dominant) and if the fiscal authority makes the first move the opposite is true and the economy can be deemed fiscally dominant.
- Aiyagari and Gertler (1985) put forward a two-period overlapping generations model to explain how fiscal and monetary interdependence ultimately affects the ability of monetary policy to control inflation. In a Ricardian regime, government sets taxes to fully back debt; and in a non-Ricardian a portion of that debt has to be backed by the monetary authorities' inflationary-prompting money creation
- Leeper (1991) describes this non Ricardian situation as one where an active fiscal policy exists while a
 passive monetary policy occurs concurrently.

Selected Studies and Results

Author	Market Studied	Time Period	Methodology & Main Variables	Results
Carlos De Resende (2007)	OECD (18) & Developing Nations (20)	(A) 1950 - 2004	Panel Dynamic Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) on money supply, household consumption an debt	Fiscal Dominance is more common in d Developing Countries than in the OECD Nations. Debt plays a minor role in price determination
Henning Bohn (1998)	United States	(A) 1916 - 1995	OLS on primary budget surplus, temporary budget spending, business cycle indicator and debt	US Primary surplus reacts positively to debt- GDP ratio showing that the fiscal authorities are satisfying the intertemporal budget constraint
Luis Catao and Marco Terrones (2003)	107 Countries	(A) 1960 - 2001	ARDL pooled mean group estimator on inflation, money supply, overall budget balance, openness and oil prices	Fiscal deficits are positively associated with inflation in high-inflation and developing country with a weaker results among advanced economies
Edda Zoli (2005)	Emerging Markets (8)	(Q) and (M) 1990 - 2005	VAR between primary balance to GDP ratio and debt to GDP; OLS on nominal interest rate, inflation, output gap, primary balance noinal exchange rate ; Event studies	Mixed results for fiscal dominance hypothesis using VAR methodology. No sign of fiscal policy impacting the monetary policy reaction function. In the event studies, it has been shown that fiscal policy has an impact on sovereign spreads and exchange rates
Antonio Afonso, Jose Alves and Raquel Balhote (2019)	European Union (28)	(A) 1970 -2015	Panel OLS fixed Effects, Two staged least squares estimation on current account primary balance, debt, output gap, interest rate, inflation, monet suppply and real effective exchange rate	Primary balance increase when debt levels increase; monetary authorities assume a larger role in economic stabilization in periods of higher debt accumulation

Section III: Methodology & Data



Data & Transformations

- Main variables utilized in assessing the relationship between monetary and fiscal policy (degree of fiscal dominance) include:
 - Primary Balance to GDP
 - Debt to GDP Ratio
- Remainder of the data were used for monetary & fiscal policy reaction function and in identifying the impact of fiscal policy on the macroeconomy
- A quarterly time series was employed from 1986Q1 to 2019Q4
- Variables underwent a logarithmic transformation or in the case of primary balance (negative values) were normalized to GDP

/ariables	Definition	Source
Reserve Cash Ratio	Required cash reserves as a percentage of deposit liabilities	Central Bank of Belize
Debt to GDP Ratio	Outstanding Debt Stock as a percentage of Nominal GDP	Ministry of Finance & Statistical Institute of Belize
Primary Balance to GDP Ratio	Central Government Primary Balance as a percentage of Nominal GDP	Ministry of Finance & Statistical Institute of Belize
Overall Balance to GDP Ratio	Central Government Overall Balance as a percentage of Nominal GDP	Ministry of Finance & Statistical Institute of Belize
nflation	Percentage change in Consumer Price Index (2011 = 100)	Statistical Institute of Belize
Money Supply (M2)	Narrow money plus quasi money balances	Central Bank of Belize Statistical Digest
mport Prices	US Export Price Index (2000 = 100)	FRED Database
Dil Prices	WTI oil spot prices in USD/barrel	US Energy Information Administration (EIA)
Dutput Gap	GDP as a percentage of potential GDP	Statistical Institute of Belize
Private Sector Credit	Net credit to the private sector	Statistical Institute of Belize
Consumption	Household private consumption	Statistical Institute of Belize
oreign Reserves	Net Foreign Asset position of the Central Bank of Belize	Central Bank of Belize Statistical Digest
Temporary Government Spending	Central Government capital expenditure	Ministry of Finance
Central Bank Financing	Central Bank credit to Central Government	Central Bank of Belize Statistical Digest

Fiscal Policy and The Belizean Economy..

Deterioration in the Overall Fiscal Balance has been associated with higher GDP ...





Change in Total Expenditure

Fiscal Policy Impact (continued)

However Central Bank financing seems to have an inflationary impact...



... and is further associated with a deterioration of the NFA position as well.



MONETARY_FINANCING_RATIO

Change in NFA

Monetary Policy and The Belizean Economy

Changes in Reserve cash have been associated with increased economic growth...



...and expansionary changes in fiscal policy are associated with increasing excess cash.



Change in GDP

Changes in Excess Cash

Establishing Fiscal Dominance: ARDL & VAR Approach

ARDL Estimations

- Using the De Resende(2007) methodology the authors estimated the degree of fiscal dominance by calculating the fraction of outstanding debt, k, backed by current and future primary surpluses
- $M2 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * Consumption_{t-i} + \beta_2 * Debt to GDP_{t-i} + \mu$
 - Where $\beta_2 = -(1-k)$
- Applying the methodology of Afonso et al (2019) the authors estimated fiscal and monetary policy reaction functions
- $PBal = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * PBal_{t-i} + \beta_2 * CapEx_{t-i} + \beta_3 * Gap_{t-i} + \beta_4 * Debt to GDP_{t-i} + \mu$
- RCR = β_0 + $\beta_1 * RCR_{t-i} + \beta_2 * Gap_{t-i} + \beta_3 * M2_{t-i} + \beta_4 * FRes_{t-i} + \mu$

VAR Estimation

- Drawing on the methodology of Bali (2005) a VAR model was estimated to test if Central Government's primary balance responds to changes in their liabilities and vice versa
- $\Delta PBal_t = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_1 * \Delta PBal_{t-i} + \sum \beta_2 * \Delta Debt \text{ to } GDP_{t-i} + \mu$
- $\Delta Debt_t = \alpha_0 + \sum \alpha_1 * \Delta PBal_{t-i} + \sum \alpha_2 * \Delta Debt \text{ to } GDP_{t-i} + \varepsilon$

Impact of Fiscal Policy: ARDL Approach

ARDL Estimations

- Drawing on the methodology of Catao and Terrones (2003) and Hendry (1995) the impact of fiscal policy on inflation was investigated:
- $Infl = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * CenBankFin_{t-i} + \beta_2 * M2_{t-i} + \beta_3 * Fiscal_{Bal_{t-i}} + \beta_4 * Oil_{t-i} + \beta_5 * Agri_{t-i} + \beta_6 * US_exp_index_{t-i} + \beta_7 * T_Bill_rate_{t-i} + \beta_8 * Output_gap_{t-i} + \mu$
- Applying the methodology of Branch and Jordan (2005), the authors investigate the impact of fiscal policy on international reserves
- $NFA = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * Cred_{t-i} + \beta_2 * GDP_{t-i} + \beta_3 * PB_{t-i} + \beta_4 * RCR_{t-i} + \beta_5 * QM_{t-i} + \mu$

Section IV: Preliminary Results



Fiscal Dominance: De Resende

Long Run Estimation Results of the De Resende Model

Dependent Variable - Money Supply (M2)

Levels Equation Case 2: Restricted Constant and No Trend					
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.	
D_DGDP LCON C	-0.346395 1.050879 8.075520	0.194054 0.124866 0.786885	-1.785048 8.416078 10.26265	0.0825 0.0000 0.0000	

EC = LM2 - (-0.3464*D_DGDP + 1.0509*LCON + 8.0755)

F-Bounds Test	N	Null Hypothesis: No levels relationship			
Test Statistic	Value	Signif.	l(0)	l(1)	
		Asy	mptotic: n=10	000	
F-statistic	5.835373	10%	2.63	3.35	
k	2	5%	3.1	3.87	
		2.5%	3.55	4.38	
		1%	4.13	5	
Actual Sample Size	48	Fini	ite Sample: n	=50	
		10%	2.788	3.513	
		5%	3.368	4.178	
		1%	4.695	5.758	
		Fini	ite Sample: n	=45	
		10%	2.788	3.54	
		5%	3.368	4.203	
		1%	4.8	5.725	

ARDL Results

- Evidence of fiscal dominance established between 2007 and 2019...
 - k = 1 0.346395 = 0.653605
 - Prior to this period, the fiscal authorities were able to source external debt, hence there wasn't a large percentage of domestic debt from the Central Bank
- The bounds test indicates that there is a cointegrating relationship between the variables
- The error correction terms is negative and significant in the short run model
- Diagnostic checks were carried out and indicate a stable model as well
- DOLS estimation provides robustness check of results

Fiscal Dominance: VAR Approach

Results of Bivariate VAR Impulse Response Function







VAR Stability Assessment

Inverse Roots of AR Characteristic Polynomial



Fiscal Policy Response Function: ARDL

Long Run Estimation of Fiscal Policy Response Function: Dependent Variable - Primary Balance to GDP

Levels Equation Case 2: Restricted Constant and No Trend				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
D_DGDP LCAP LFRES LRCR C	0.020637 -0.010304 -0.003583 -0.016504 -0.032528	0.014209 0.003205 0.004169 0.022497 0.032015	1.452457 -3.215333 -0.859452 -0.733587 -1.016022	0.1542 0.0026 0.3952 0.4675 0.3157

EC = PB - (0.0206*D_DGDP -0.0103*LCAP -0.0036*LFRES -0.0165*LRCR -0.0325)

F-Bounds Test	Ν	Iull Hypothesis:	No levels rela	ationship
Test Statistic	Value	Signif.	l(0)	l(1)
		Asy	mptotic: n=10	000
F-statistic	10.05166	10%	2.2	3.09
k	4	5%	2.56	3.49
		2.5%	2.88	3.87
		1%	3.29	4.37
Actual Sample Size	56	Fini	te Sample: n	=60
		10%	2.323	3.273
		5%	2.743	3.792
		1%	3.71	4.965
		Fini	te Sample: n	=55
		10%	2.345	3.28
		5%	2.763	3.813
		1%	3.738	4.947

Short Run Estimation of Fiscal Policy Response Function: Dependent Variable - Δ Primary Balance to GDP

ECM Regression Case 2: Restricted Constant and No Trend					
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.	
D(PB(-1))	0.272704	0.116541	2.339978	0.0244	
D(PB(-2))	0.091940	0.105140	0.874449	0.3871	
D(PB(-3))	0.219643	0.081753	2.686681	0.0105	
D(D_DGDP)	-0.068628	0.027873	-2.462151	0.0182	
D(D_DGDP(-1))	-0.072394	0.030146	-2.401472	0.0211	
D(D_DGDP(-2))	-0.049244	0.030861	-1.595679	0.1184	
D(D_DGDP(-3))	0.068806	0.030797	2.234215	0.0311	
D(LFRES)	0.041455	0.007948	5.215481	0.0000	
D(LFRES(-1))	0.022537	0.007493	3.007776	0.0045	
D(LFRES(-2))	0.018333	0.006374	2.876154	0.0064	
CointEq(-1)*	-1.228763	0.149175	-8.237031	0.0000	
R-squared	0 872824	Mean depend	lent var	-0.000317	
Adjusted R-squared	0.844563	S.D. depende	ent var	0.012940	
S.E. of regression	0.005101	Akaike info criterion		-7.544400	
Sum squared resid	0.001171	Schwarz crite	rion	-7.146563	
Log likelihood	222.2432	Hannan-Quin	n criter.	-7.390159	
Durbin-Watson stat	2.073606				

Monetary Policy Response Function: ARDL

Long Run Estimation of Monetary Policy Response Function: Dependent Variable – Reserve Cash Ratio

Levels Equation Case 2: Restricted Constant and No Trend				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
N_FR_GDP LM2 GAP PB C	-0.735027 0.901667 -7.082021 11.37528 -11.83378	0.122837 0.104347 4.019417 3.937189 1.221055	-5.983766 8.641078 -1.761952 2.889187 -9.691439	0.0000 0.0000 0.0898 0.0077 0.0000

EC = LRCR - (-0.7350*N_FR_GDP + 0.9017*LM2 -7.0820*GAP + 11.3753*PB -11.8338)

F-Bounds Test		Null Hypothesis	: No levels rel	ationship
Test Statistic	Value	Signif.	I(0)	l(1)
		As	symptotic: n=10	000
F-statistic	7.554338	10%	2.2	3.09
k	4	5%	2.56	3.49
		2.5%	2.88	3.87
		1%	3.29	4.37
Actual Sample Size	48	Fi	nite Sample: n	=50
		10%	2.372	3.32
		5%	2.823	3.872
		1%	3.845	5.15
		Fi	nite Sample: n	=45
		10%	2.402	3.345
		5%	2.85	3.905
		1%	3.892	5.173

Short Run Estimation of Monetary Policy Response Function: Dependent Variable - Δ Reserve Cash Ratio

ECM Regression Case 2: Restricted Constant and No Trend					
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.	
D(LRCR(-1)) D(LRCR(-2)) D(N_FR_GDP) D(N_FR_GDP(-1)) D(N_FR_GDP(-2)) D(LM2(-2)) D(LM2(-2)) D(LM2(-2)) D(LM2(-3)) D(GAP) D(GAP(-1)) D(PB) D(PB(-1)) D(PB(-2)) D(PB(-3))	0.119978 0.227895 -0.016773 0.327799 0.223630 -0.888646 -3.095153 -0.357016 -0.981123 -1.646478 1.844221 1.264814 -5.741261 -3.731374 -2.298977	0.099690 0.099777 0.052076 0.062605 0.053229 0.482356 0.521789 0.521995 0.490356 0.304849 0.307693 0.971666 1.491342 1.380410 1.128051	1.203512 2.284038 -0.322092 5.236010 4.201319 -1.842306 -5.931811 -0.683944 -2.000839 -5.400961 5.993706 1.301696 -3.849729 -2.703091 -2.038008	0.2396 0.0308 0.7500 0.0000 0.0003 0.0769 0.0000 0.5001 0.0560 0.0000 0.2044 0.0007 0.2044 0.0007 0.0119 0.0518	
DUMMY CointEq(-1)*	0.058919 -0.539956	0.030831 0.073450	1.911051 -7.351365	0.0671 0.0000	
R-squared Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression Sum squared resid Log likelihood Durbin-Watson stat	0.741101 0.607476 0.072274 0.161931 68.49385 2.229184	Mean dependent var S.D. dependent var Akaike info criterion Schwarz criterion Hannan-Quinn criter.		0.011055 0.115359 -2.145577 -1.482860 -1.895135	

Fiscal Impact on Inflation & Reserves

ARDL Estimation of Inflation Dynamics

Dependent Variable – Change in CPI

Case	Levels Eq 2: Restricted Con	uation Istant and No	Trend	
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LCBL M2_GDP LWTI LTBRATE LIM_IN LAGRIC C	0.035328 0.162555 0.087070 -0.068401 0.294948 -0.098029 1.465819	0.014093 0.036238 0.025064 0.014041 0.105487 0.038672 0.596500	2.506785 4.485714 3.473883 -4.871691 2.796069 -2.534912 2.457367	0.0166 0.0001 0.0013 0.0000 0.0081 0.0155 0.0187

EC = LCPI - (0.0353*LCBL + 0.1626*M2_GDP + 0.0871*LWTI -0.0684 *LTBRATE + 0.2949*LIM_IN -0.0980*LAGRIC + 1.4658)

F-Bounds Test	Null Hypothesis: No levels relationship				
Test Statistic	Value	Signif.	l(0)	l(1)	
		Asymptotic: n=1000			
F-statistic	10.20782	10%	1.99	2.94	
k	6	5%	2.27	3.28	
		2.5%	2.55	3.61	
		1%	2.88	3.99	
Actual Sample Size	57	Fini	Finite Sample: n=60		
		10%	2.114	3.153	
		5%	2.456	3.598	
		1%	3.293	4.615	
		Fini	Finite Sample: n=55		
		10%	2.139	3.204	
		5%	2.49	3.658	
		1%	3.33	4.708	

ARDL Estimation of Foreign Reserves

Dependent Variable: Foreign Reserves of Central Bank

Levels Equation Case 2: Restricted Constant and No Trend							
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.			
LCRED LGDP_SA PB LRCR LQM C	-1.273008 -0.605718 21.99657 -0.690000 3.414775 -21.58999	1.560642 1.838032 5.958538 0.303650 0.840022 3.280849	-0.815695 -0.329547 3.691605 -2.272355 4.065101 -6.580612	0.4202 0.7437 0.0008 0.0293 0.0003 0.0000			

EC = LNFA - (-1.2730*LCRED -0.6057*LGDP_SA + 21.9966*PB -0.6900 *LRCR + 3.4148*LQM -21.5900)

F-Bounds Test	Null Hypothesis: No levels relationship				
Test Statistic	Value	Signif.	I(0)	l(1)	
		Asymptotic: n=1000			
F-statistic	19.75381	10%	2.08	3	
k	5	5%	2.39	3.38	
		2.5%	2.7	3.73	
		1%	3.06	4.15	
Actual Sample Size	52	Finite Sample: n=55			
		10%	2.226	3.241	
		5%	2.617	3.743	
		1%	3.543	4.839	
		Fini	Finite Sample: n=50		
		10%	2.259	3.264	
		5%	2.67	3.781	
		1%	3.593	4.981	

What's next...

- Refining the analysis of the impact of fiscal policy on the macroeconomy with a focus on international reserves
- Estimating a panel regression model to analyze the impact of fiscal variables on international reserves
- Methods that may improve the robustness of the results

Thank You





PO Box 852 Belize City Belize Central America



+1 501 233-6194

centralbank.org.bz